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MR. BONVILLAIN: We're called a meeting of Board of Certification of training and operators to order December 7, 2018, 10:00 a.m. Okay. Ms. Suzanne, can we have the roll call please?

Okay, we do have a form. Y'all received a copy of the minutes via email from the October 9th meeting. Are there any corrections to those minutes? A move from Mr. Barber. A second from Mr. Creel that we approve the minutes of the October $9 t h$ meeting. Are there any objections to the motion? Here now the motion passes.

Next order of business is to issue certificates to our new board member, Mr. Dr. Ricardo deAbreu. Almost as bad as mine. Historically what we do is when we have a new board member that is not certified that we grant the certification in all nine classes to the new board member level A. Do I hear a motion to that affect? Moved by Mr. Barber. Second by Ms. Henry. Any objection to the motion? A hearing under the motion passes. Ricardo, you are now fully certified.

In consideration of operator
certifications. First step we have William Steveson, C\&D Level B. Any comments on Mr. Steveson?

Mr. Barber: Level B for two years?
Mr. Bonvillain: Two years.
Mr. Barber: Ending in January?
Mr. Barber: We can go ahead and approve it.

Mr. Bonvillain: We can approve it pending the completion of two years in January. Do you like to make a motion to that effect?

Mr. Barber: I do. I make a motion that we approve this application pending his completing his experience on 1-19-18.

Mr. Bonvillain: 19. Is there a second to the motion? Second by Mr. Lagrange. Any objection to the motion? No objection, the motion passes.

Okay. Request for conditionals. Earl Foster, C\&D Level B Lincoln Parish Landfill replacing Bruce McGee. Let's do this. Let's take the first and the last one, and the 108 we'll take that separately. Okay. So, the other one would be David Woodson, Landfill Level B St. Landry Parish Landfill replacing

Wilfred Thomas.
Mr. Barber: Our promotion to grant both of these conditionals based on the fact that they are replacing a previous operator.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Motion for Mr. Barber. Second by Dr. deAbreu. Any objections to the motion? Hearing under, the motion passes. Okay.

Next, we have Trio from 108 Disposal.
Mr. Barber: I don't have to tell y'all I have a problem with this.

Mr. Bonvillain: That's why I suggested we handle that trio separately. Let's see. Donald Pettefer. He's the owner? He's got a letter in here. I'm sure y'all have read the letter. He's asking for conditionals for himself and Brandon Murray, and Simeon LeBleu. And I believe these are all replacing conditional operators. As you know, the regulations said to get a conditional, you have to be replacing a regular certified operator, unless the board chooses to grant an exemption.

Mr. Barber: You want me to go ahead and get on my soap opera?

Mr. Bonvillain: Go ahead, and get on your soap opera, Troy.

Mr. Barber: It's not this board's responsibility to make sure facilities are certified. It's this board's responsibility to make sure that they have qualified people to meet the certifications. My opinion that a guy just buys a company is not qualified to be an A Level in charge operator at a facility. And, you know, I think it's time for this board to start sending a message to everybody that we are not going to just hand out these certifications so they will be in compliance with the EQ. I mean the EQ is depending on this board to tell them whether or not these people are qualified. That's why we have these applications. There's nothing in this guy's that leads me to believe he's qualified to operate this landfill as an A Level operator, conditional or otherwise.

Mr. Lagrange: He did attend the last two workshops. He worked for a construction company for 4 years prior to that. Although the work detail is not necessarily in
operating equipment.
Mr. Barber: It looks like to me he was a safety guy, ordering parts or something like that. I mean if we're not careful as a board, we'll send a message back. These things continue to happen, and we'll continue to have facilities out there that have people who are unqualified to operate a facility. And, you know, I think we just had one may be last year that was very similar to this.

Mr. Creel: Was it this one?
Ms. Bordelon: It was this one. This is
the one that required these operators to do

- we did a three month conditional with them, and then upon, did some online training. And then, we gave them -

Mr. Barber: - Excuse me. Mia, wasn't that - didn't we get a letter - maybe Gary, you had meeting with Brown about that particular one that may be even that there would be come communication between this board and DEQ that they do some follow-up inspections of the facility, that particular facility?

Mr. Bonvillain: Correct. Dr. Riley called
me up to go meet with him, and he questioned, and I believe it was this particular facility, about the board granting the conditionals that we granted. And basically what I told him is the board looked at the applications of the individuals involved, and felt that they would be adequately operate a facility, and therefore, granted the conditional. But, you know, requested that the $D E Q$ do more frequent inspections than normal just to be on the safe side.

Mr. Creel: I got a question. I kind of looked at all the applications together, and I'm looking at Brandon Murray. He says he's been there since September of 17 . Is that $a$ mistype or?

Mr. Bonvillain: That would be about right because it was sometime last year.

Mr. Creel: Brandon Murray was previously
issued a conditional for that site?
Ms. Bordelon: No.
Mr. Creel: So he's saying he's been working there since 17 though.

Mr. Bonvillain: Yeah. He evidently did not have a certificate, but he's been working
there. He did not have a certificate.
Mr. Creel: Okay. Previous to that, he had some time at the construction operating equipment. I'm just trying to piece all this together because Mr. Barber's comments and the chairman's comments about the decision is to and our charge of determining if these applicants would have the capability to operate the facility. So I think in this particular case, I think you have to look at the whole group to see if their experience that they do have would be that charge, and you're right, Mr. Barber, that we have to you said about setting the president, and I think we already have set the president because we have issued this type of condition in the past, so I think that's something we need to consider. I'm looking at it from that perspective, and I think the other guy Brandon Murray and LeBleu looking at his working experience, you know, he's had work in construction and operating equipment and so forth. So, the two gentlemen who have applied for a conditional, these, based on their previous construction experience for
me, I feel comfortable that they would know what they doing in having that. So then, it comes down to the gentleman that applied for the conditional A.

Mr. Barber: We kind of jumping from the $A$ to the B. I'm totally in agreement with you. It looks like this guy Brandon is gonna be eligible for a regular certification by September of next year, so he's only a few months away from getting ready for - so I got no problem with that. I just got a problem with the guy sitting at the top that don't have any experience, and we're gonna give him an A Level. Which when we give him an A Level conditional certification, and DEQ goes out there and does an inspection, they look. They see an A Level, and they see that they're confident that a board has reviewed this, and agreed that this guy is worthy of holding an A Level certification to operate this facility, and to me, we're failing. If we give this guy an A Level certification, we're failing the whole system. I'll give him a conditional B way before I give him a conditional A. I mean, you gotta crawl
before you walk, and we're trying to make this man in charge and make this man the guy that is the go to and suppose to have all the knowledge, and he don't.

Mr. Lagrange: I have question. Has he taken the test, the exam?

Ms. Bordelon: No.
Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. So when he bought the facility, he got rid of his A operator, Keith Dagal?

Ms. Bordelon: No. I think - I believe Keith was still there.

Mr. Bonvillain: Well, he says he's replacing Keith Dagal, but he's got no termination date.

Ms. Bordelon: Right. Because Keith is still under a conditional.

Mr. Bonvillain: If Keith Dagal is still there, then he does not qualify, even if Keith was a regular certified operator, he would not qualify for a conditional based on replacing him because he is still there.

Mr. Lagrange: But he's saying he's replacing Keith.

Mr. Bonvillain: Yeah. But she is saying

Keith Dagal is still there.
Mr. Lagrange: And he's a what?
Mr. Bonvillain: Keith is a conditional A.
Mr. Lagrange: So they have a conditional A, if you're saying this is correct. Then he is also saying he has Kenneth Newman, who is a current operator in the conditional B there as well.

Mr. Barber: I mean this is like - if they still have a conditional $A, I$ don't see the need to award another conditional A.

Ms. Bordelon: No. I think Mr. Dagal is leaving once.

Mr. Barber: And I'm not certain about this. I was thinking Dagal was the owner.

Ms. Bordelon: He was.
Mr. Barber: So, he's sold it now, and he is not longer the owner. Now, there may be a transition period that he is still there. If that's the case, then they got an A Level.

Mr. Bonvillain: And the other thing to is, $I$ mean, the new owner could make a deal with Mr. Dale to be his Level A operator until the new owner meets his requirement.

Mr. Creel: And that's the bottom line, if
you're not qualified, you're not qualified.
That's it, sorry.
Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Let's do this.
Let's take the two request for B Level conditionals.

Mr. Creel: Are the other two conditionals still there? One is there. So he can't replace that one until the other one -

Mr. Bonvillain: - Technically, he's got them both replacing one guy that was the conditional, okay? So under the regulations, they don't automatically get the conditional, okay? The board has the authority to grant the conditionals if you feel that these individuals are qualified to do the job.

Mr. Lagrange: Based on the two applications prior construction experience, Mr. Chairman, I would like to move to grant a conditional to grant Murray, Simeon and LeBleu.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Got a motion from Mr. Lagrange. Is there a second?

Mr. Creel: Can we grant Mr. Murray a regular certification?

Mr. Bonvillain: He will qualify in what,

September of next year. Okay. We got a motion from Mr. Lagrange to grant the conditionals for the two $B$ Levels for Murray and LeBleu. Is there a second to the motion? Second from Dr. deAbreu. Okay. We got a motion in the second. Is there any objection to the motion? Okay. We are hearing no objection. The motion passes. Now in regards to the request for the conditional $A$ for Donald Pettefer. Do I hear a motion to grant or deny?

Mr. Lagrange: Chairman, I move to deny the application.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Motion from Mr. Lagrange to deny the application. Second from Mr. Barber. Is there any objection to the motion? Hearing none, the motion passes.

Mr. Lagrange: Mr. Chairman, please note for the record the reason for denial is that there is still currently a Level A conditional license on site. Not a hardship for that.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. So those are taken care of. Next item is the extension of the conditional, Dennis Gibson C\&D Level A Vernon

Parish C \& D. It says first extension request. He attended a workshop in Shreveport and Lake Charles, and passed the test on October 9th.

Mr. Lagrange: I move, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Bonvillain: Motion moved by Mr.
Lagrange. Is there a second to the motion to grant the extension? Second by Mr. Creel. Any objection to the motion? Hearing none, the motion passes. Okay. Ms. Bordelon handed out an additional application that she just got yesterday. It's on a Charles Titus for Cadiana Landfill. He's requesting a conditional Level A for Mr. Titus. Ms. Bordelon, you wanna explain the situation here?

Ms. Bordelon: Yes. Mr. Day called me yesterday, and his situation with he's got himself - he, himself is Level A operator. He's got one other operator that is also a Level A which is Nathan Johnson. He explained to me that his reason for asking for a conditional for this guy that has been there since October is that he's been having personal issues with Mr. Johnson, and is
considering terminating his employment. So, that is the reason for the request for a conditional for this operator so he can have another operator there in the event he needs to terminate Mr. Johnson.

Mr. Barber: That brings up an interesting question. The provisions that we normally think about is a person decreased or retired or fired or quit. But prior to, does this owner of the facility have the right to request a conditional on somebody that is still there?

Mr. Bonvillain: I'm not gonna use to word right, but he certainly can ask for it. That doesn't mean we have to grant it.

Mr. Barber: Who owns it? Does he own it or does the guy who has the certification own it?

Mr. Bonvillain: The guy that owns it is certified. They got two. The guy that owns it is certified Level A, and the other guy that he's thinking about getting rid of, Johnson, is also Level A certified.

Mr. Barber: That's my question. Does it belong to Johnson or does it belong to his
employer?
Mr. Bonvillain: It belongs to Johnson.
Mr. Barber: If it belongs to Johnson, then how do we grant it? Because what if Johnson wants to take that certification, and go work for me in Tangibihoe at the Landfill?

Mr. Bonvillain: He can do that, okay?
That does not prevent you from granting a conditional to replace him at that facility.

Mr. Lagrange: Remember a conditional is just at that facility.

Mr. Barber: So this doesn't do anything to Johnson certification?

Mr. Bonvillain: No. It does not do anything to his certification.

Mr. Barber: I don't think we've ever had that circumstance come up. Let me just say one other thing in defense of the owner. I admire him for lining his ducks up and getting these things done before there is a problem. I'm gonna offer a motion to grant it.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Let me ask you a question. What if he doesn't fire him?

Mr. Lagrange: Mr. Chairman, I would like
to amend that motion. I move that we grant the conditional upon receiving proof that Mr. Johnson is no longer employed at this facility.

Mr. Barber: I'm good with that.
Mr. Bonvillain: Would you like to offer that as a substitute motion?

Mr. Lagrange: Yes, sir. I would.
Mr. Barber: And I'll second it.
Mr. Bonvillain: We have a motion by Mr. Lagrange and a second by Mr. Barber that we grant the conditional to Mr. Titus upon proof that Nathan Johnson has been terminated. Are they any objections to the motion?

Mr. Lagrange: Mr. Chairman, can we say no longer employed there?

Mr. Bonvillain: I said terminated.
That's the same thing, huh? It's your motion, however you want to word it.

Mr. Lagrange: What if he quits on his own?

Mr. Bonvillain: That's still a termination. Make that motion read no longer employed. Is there any objection to the motion? Hearing none, the motion passes.

Okay. Issuing of operators certificates. Do we have any certificates to issue? No?

Okay. We approved the one application evidently he has not taken the test yet. So, there are no certificates to issue.

Committee Reports. Awards, Mr. Hylton is not here.

Mr. Lagrange: Did we skip
recertification?
Mr. Bonvillain: Do I have any
recertifications? Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't see it.

Recertification applications are Rondell Bastiste, Landfill, Level B River Birch; Kenneth Sanchez, C\&D, Level B, Separation, Level B Gator Environmental; Fred Williams, Landfill, Level A all facilities, retired; John "Bubba" Wynn, Landfill, Level A all facilities, Mikeebo Landfill and Wynco Environmental Services. They have met their requirements, as far as, training hours. Is their a motion to approve the recertifications? Motion by Mr. Lagrange. Second by Doc. Is there any objection to the motion? Hearing none, the motion passes.

Okay.
Now committee reports. Awards, Mr. Hylton is not here. Testing, Mr. Lagrange. Evidently we don't have any testing that took place. Continuing education, Troy.

Mr. Barber: Nothing to report, sir.
Mr. Bonvillain: Nominating committee, Ms. Henry.

Ms. Henry: To my knowledge there is nothing to report.

Mr. Bonvillain: Okay. Is there any other business someone needs to bring up?

Mr. Creel: I'm still confused on One Way Disposal. So on August 30, 2018, Mr. Dagal was there with a conditional license?

Mr. Bonvillain: Correct.
Mr. Creel: Also, Mr. Newman and Mr. Basil were there under a conditional $B$ license?

Mr. Bonvillain: Yeah.
Mr. Creel: Okay. So, this was purchased on 9-1 according to the website. So, according to Mr. Pettefer, who bought this and applied for a conditional license, based on a conditional, which we denied. He also says in his letter that Newman is gonna
remain on site with a conditional B license. And he also requested that Mr. Murray and Mr. LeBleu receive conditional license based on Mr. Basin one conditional license. So, he's getting two for one.

Mr. Bonvillain: Basically, yeah.
Mr. Creel: I wanted to make sure.
Mr. Bonvillain: And basically what has happened is they looked at the two applications, and based on their work history that they qualified to get a conditional, not because they are replacing Basin because he was a conditional himself. But based on the experience they had, the members felt that these people were qualified to be able to operate the facility. And really it's not because they are replacing Basin, because he was just a conditional himself. Okay, any other business?

Any public comments from our big public out there?

Mr. Williams: I would like to wish our board a Merry Christmas.

Mr. Bonvillain: Do I hear a motion to adjourn? Moved by Ms. Henry. Second by Mr.
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| 2:7,9,11 | 18:24 | 13:8,17;14:10;17:25; | ready (1) | 7:17;8:13;10:16; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mistype (1) | objections (4) | 18:25;21:2 | 9:10 | 15:10,14 |
| 7:16 | 2:12;4:7;17:14; | past (1) | really (1) | Riley (1) |
| month (1) | 21:1 | 8:17 | 20:16 | 6:25 |
| 6:14 | October (4) | pending (2) | reason (3) | River (1) |
| months (1) | 2:8,11;14:4,24 | 3:9,13 | 13:19;14:22;15:2 | 18:14 |
| 9:10 | offer (2) | people (4) | receive (1) | roll (1) |
| more (1) | 16:21;17:6 | 5:6,16;6:7;20:15 | 20:3 | 2:5 |
| 7:10 | once (1) | period (1) | received (1) | Rondell (1) |
| motion (43) | 11:13 | 11:19 | 2:6 | 18:13 |
| 2:13,13,21,23,23; | one (17) | person (1) | receiving (1) |  |
| 3:11,12,16,17,18;4:5, | 3:22,24;6:9,11,12, | 15:8 | 17:2 | S |
| $8,10,14,17,17 ; 14: 6,7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,20 ; 12: 7,8,8,10 ; \\ & 14: 20 ; 16: 18 ; 18: 3 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { personal (1 } \\ 14: 25 \end{gathered}$ | recertification (2) $18: 9,13$ | safe (1) |
| 9,10;16:21;17:1,7,10, | 19:13;20:4,5 | perspective (1) | recertifications (2) | 7:11 |
| 14,19,23,25,25;18:22, | online (1) | 8:19 | 18:11,23 | safety (1) |
| 23,25,25;20:24;21:1,2 | 6:15 | Pettefer (3) | record (1) | 6:3 |
| move (5) | only (1) | 4:14;13:10;19:22 | 13:19 | same (1) |
| 2:9;12:18;13:12; | 9:9 | piece (1) | regards (1) | 17:18 |
| 14:5;17:1 | opera (2) | 8:4 | 13:9 | Sanchez (1) |
| Moved (3) | 4:25;5:2 | place (1) | regular (4) | 18:15 |
| 2:21;14:6;20:25 | operate (6) | 19:5 | 4:22;9:8;10:20; | saying (5) |
| Murray (8) | 5:19;6:8;7:7;8:9; | please (2) | 12:24 | 7:22;10:23,25;11:5, |
| 4:17;7:14,19;8:20; | 9:20;20:16 | 2:5;13:18 | regulations (2) | 6 |
| 12:19,23;13:3;20:2 | operating (3) | president (2) | 4:20;12:11 | second (16) |
| N | 6:1;8:3,22 | 8:14,15 | remain (1) | 2:10,22;3:15,16; |
| N | operator (13) | prevent (1) | $20: 1$ | 4:6;12:22;13:4,5,6, |
| Nathan (2) | 10:9,20;11:7,23; | previous (3) | 16:10 | 18:24;20:25 |
| 14:21;17:13 | 14:19,20; $15: 3,4$ | 4:4;8:2,25 | replace (2) | send (1) |
| necessarily (1) | operators (4) | previously (1) | 12:8;16:9 | 6:5 |
| 5:25 | 2:3;4:19;6:13;18:1 | 7:19 | replacing (11) | sending (1) |
| need (2) | opinion (1) | prior (3) | 3:21,25;4:4,19,21; | 5:11 |
| 8:18;11:11 | 5:7 | 5:24;12:17;15:9 | 10:14,22,24;12:10; | separately (2) |
| needs (2) | order (2) | problem (4) | 20:12,17 | 3:23;4:13 |
| 15:4;19:12 | 2:3,14 | 4:11;9:11,12;16:21 | report (2) | Separation (1) |
| new (5) | ordering (1) | promotion (1) | 19:6,10 | 18:15 |
| 2:15,17,20;11:22, | 6:3 | 4:2 | Reports (2) | September (3) |
| 24 | otherwise (1) | proof (2) | 18:6;19:2 | 7:15;9:9;13:1 |
| Newman (3) | 5:21 | 17:2,12 | Request (6) | Services (1) |
| 11:6;19:17,25 | out (5) | provisions (1) | 3:19;12:4;13:9; | 18:20 |
| Next (5) | 5:13;6:7;9:16; | 15:7 | 14:2;15:2,11 | set (1) |
| 2:14;4:9;9:9;13:1, | 14:11;20:21 | public (2) | requested (2) | 8:15 |
| 24 | own (3) | 20:20,20 | 7:9;20:2 | setting (1) |
| nine (1) | 15:16,17;17:21 | purchased (1) | requesting (1) | $8: 14$ |
| 2:19 | owner (7) | 19:20 | 14:13 | Shreveport (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nominating (1) } \\ & 19: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 14 ; 11: 15,18,22 \\ & 24 ; 15: 10 ; 16: 18 \end{aligned}$ | Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { required (1) } \\ & 6: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14: 3 \\ \text { side (1) } \end{array}$ |
| none (5) | owns (3) | Q | requirement (1) | 7:11 |
| 13:17;14:9;17:25; | 15:16,19,20 | qualified (9) | 11:24 | Simeon (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} 18: 25 ; 21: 2 \\ \text { normal (1) } \end{gathered}$ | P | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 6,8,16,19 ; 12: 1,1, \\ & 15 ; 20: 11,15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements (1) } \\ & 18: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:17;12:19 } \\ & \text { similar (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:11 |  | qualify (3) | responsibility (2) | 6:10 |
| normally (1) |  | $10: 19,21 ; 12: 25$ | 5:4,5 | site (3) |
| 15:7 | $3: 20,25 ; 14: 1$ | quit (1) | retired (2) | 7:20;13:21;20:1 |
| note (1) | particular (4) | 15:9 | 15:8;18:17 | sitting (1) |
| 13:18 | 6:20,23;7:2;8:10 | quits (1) | reviewed (1) | 9:12 |
| 0 | parts (1) | 17:20 | 9:18 | situation (2) |
| 0 | passed (1) | R | $2: 16,24$ | skip (1) |
| objection (9) | 14:3 |  | rid (2) | 18:8 |
| 2:22;3:17,17;13:6, | passes (10) | read (2) | 10:9;15:22 | soap (2) |
| 8,16;14:9;17:24; | 2:13,23;3:18;4:8; | 4:15;17:23 | right (5) | 4:25;5:2 |



